IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR NO.2595 of 2004
DATE OF DECISION.8.12.2006

Mange Ram .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another .....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAI LAMBA

PRESENT Mr.Ashit Malik,Advocate
Ms. Ritu Punj, DAG, Haryana.

AJAI LAMBA , J(Oral)

This revision is directed against the order dated 11.11.2004 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra.

The petitioner is the complainant of the F. I. R. Vide order dated 15.6.2004, Beera Ram son of Hukam singh, Jagga Singh son of Hukam Singh, Vikram Singh son of Dharam Pal, Phullo Devi wife of Lakhu Ram were summoned to stand trial under Sections 323, 324 read with Section 34 IPC along-with the other accused while exercising jurisdiction 319 Cr. P. C. Revision petition was preferred by all the said four persons, however, has been allowed only on behalf of Phullo Devi i.e respondent no.2. The petitioner complainant aggrieved by the said order has approached this Court.

I have gone through the record of the case.

From the perusal of the record , I find that the petitioner / complainant had not named Phullo Devi and had not ascribed any role in the FIR version. For the first time while giving statement in the Court, the name of Phullo Devi was introduced as an accused. It is on this ground that

the revision of Phullo Devi was accepted.

I find no infirmity in the order of the revisional Court.

Petition is accordingly, dismissed.

December 8,2006 **(AJAI LAMBA)**
mamta **JUDGE**